Extract from Hansard

[COUNCIL - Thursday, 4 May 2006] p2189c-2190a Hon Nigel Hallett; Hon Jon Ford

SPEEDING INFRINGEMENTS - NUMBER OF MOTORISTS AND REVENUE - APPEALS

- 3404. Hon Nigel Hallett to the Minister for Local Government and Regional Development representing the Minister for Community Safety
- (1) How many motorists were charged with speeding infringements in Western Australia in 2005 from -
 - (a) Multanova cameras; and
 - (b) Other speed cameras?
- (2) What was the revenue generated from speeding infringements in Western Australia in 2005 from -
 - (a) Multanova cameras; and
 - (b) Other speed cameras?
- (3) How many speeding infringements from Multanova cameras were challenged in Western Australia in 2003, 2004 and 2005?
- (4) How many infringements were successfully appealed?
- (5) What were the bases for the successful appeals?
- (6) What is the maximum error (in kilometre/hour) in the measurement of speed in Multanova cameras?
- (7) Is that margin of error deducted from vehicle speeds measured by Multanova cameras when determining infringements?
- (8) If not, how much speed is deducted to account for camera error?
- (9) Under what circumstances do Multanova cameras give the maximum inaccurate readings?
- (10) Under what other circumstances do Multanova cameras give inaccurate readings?
- (11) Do Multanova cameras give accurate readings 100 percent of the time?
- (12) If not, what is the estimated percentage of drivers that may be falsely charged with speeding by Multanova cameras?

Hon JON FORD replied:

- 1) i) 399,327 original infringements issued January to December 2005
 - ii) Not Applicable.
- 2) The Office of Road Safety is not able to provide a figure for Multanova cameras alone. The Office of Road Safety advises that in 2004-05 \$33 170 124 was generated from Multanova speed camera and red light camera infringements. The Member may wish to redirect the question relating to other speed cameras to the Minister for Planning and Infrastructure.
- 3-5) WA Police does not capture this data.
- 6) In accordance with manufacturer's specifications, a tolerance of +/- one kilometre per hour is acceptable.
- 7) Yes
- 8) Not applicable
- 9-10) Mathematically, there is a potential for some error if a vehicle crosses the camera beam at less than the 22 degree angle of attack. In accordance with manufacturer's specifications, a tolerance of one kilometre per hour is deducted.
- 11) Yes, provided that the camera is operated in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications.
- 12) Not applicable